

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/516,735	05/20/2005	Bengt Palm	027651-259	7422		
21839	7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER			
	AN INGERSOLL PC	SIMONE, TIMOTHY F				
•	G BURNS, DOANE, SWEC CE BOX 1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22313-1404	1761				
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2000	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)			
		1	10/516,735		PALM ET AL.			
Office	Action Summary	E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		Ti	imothy F. Simone		1761			
	ING DATE of this commu			neet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
Period for Reply								
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD LONGER, FROM THE Provision STATUTORY PERIOD BY STATE OF A STATE OF THE PROVINCE OF THE PROVIN	MAILING DATE ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a nmunication. statutory period will a sty will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMI). In no event, however, pply and will expire SIX use the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be tim (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) Responsiv	ve to communication(s) fi	led on 09 Marc	ch 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action	` ,		tion is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ms							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>-6 and 8-15</u> is/are pendir	ng in the applic	ation.					
	above claim(s) is/			on.				
5) Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-6 and 8-15 is/are rejecte	ed.						
	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restr	iction and/or el	ection requireme	nt.				
Application Papers	;							
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepto	ed or b)⊡ object	ted to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant m	nay not request that any obj	ection to the draw	wing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	nt drawing sheet(s) includir					• •		
11) The oath o	r declaration is objected	to by the Exam	niner. Note the at	tached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.		
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
	gment is made of a claim ☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Cert	tified copies of the priority	y documents ha	ave been receive	ed.				
2. Cert	tified copies of the priority	y documents ha	ave been receive	d in Application	on No			
	ies of the certified copies				d in this National	Stage		
	lication from the Internati	· ·	, ,	•				
* See the atta	ched detailed Office acti	on for a list of t	the certified copie	s not received	d.			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Reference		(DTO 046)		erview Summary (
	son's Patent Drawing Review (sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	•		oer No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTC	D-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail D		,	6) 🔲 Oth	er:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Zimmer (US 6,168,141). The patent to Zimmer discloses an apparatus in an infuser for a liquid food product, the apparatus comprising a pressure vessel (10) with an inlet (24a,24b) for the product located in its upper region and an outlet (20) for the product located in its lower region, the infuser also including an inlet for steam disposed such that steam enters into the upper region of the pressure vessel through a concentric distribution chamber (upper region of elements 16,30) wherein the concentric distribution chamber is defined by a wall of the pressure vessel (16), a guide plate (30) and at least one foraminated plate (19), and the pressure vessel is designed so that the product is inherently treated by the steam below the guide plate. Thus, the structural features of the instantly rejected claims are structurally met by the reference. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429,

Art Unit: 1761

1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997) see also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971); In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmer (US 6,168,141). The Zimmer reference disclose the claimed subject matter except for the precise speed of the steam, the exact diameter of the holes in the plates, and the precise extent below the foraminated plates. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the optimum speed and dimensions set forth in the claims, since it has

Art Unit: 1761

been held that discovering an optimum value with respect to speed and dimensions involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 09, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The structural features recited in the claims are clearly pointed out in the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) above. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. Furthermore, the pressure vessel of Zimmer is inherently designed so that the product is treated by the steam also below the guide plate (30) of Zimmer before it travels to the outlet (20). Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy F. Simone whose telephone number is 571-272-1407. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays between 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 521-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy F. Simone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761